

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/580,192	MUELLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frank M. Lawrence	1797	

All Participants:

(1) Frank M. Lawrence.

(2) Paul Killos.

Date of Interview: 12 February 2009

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3 pm e.t.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant authorized an examiner's amendment to correct a typographical error in claim 17. The examiner advised applicant that it appears that the first inventor's name is misspelled on the application data sheet.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)